

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/809,823	03/25/2004	Frank Petrus Nicolaas Roet	0470-043794	7812
William H. Log	7590 12/22/200 rsdon	6	EXAM	IINER
WEBB ZIESENHEIM LOGSDON ORKIN & HANSON, P.C. 700 Koppers Building 436 Seventh Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15219-1818			BOLES, DEREK	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3749	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MOI	NTHS	12/22/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	,Applicant(s)				
	10/809,823	ROET ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Derek S. Boles	3749				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addre	ss			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this commi D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 Ja</u>	anuary 2006.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 9-22 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 14,15,17-20 is/are w 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 9-13,16,21,22 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	ithdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 25 March 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected t drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	es have been received. Es have been received in Application of the second of the secon	ion No ed in this National Sta	ge			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail D		2)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 9, 12, 16, 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Carruthers (4,248,378). See fig. 2, elements 25 and 26 and col. 3, lines 10-32. Both pipes 25 and 26 are considered one vent pipe. In fig. 2 it is clear that pipe 26 discharges above the cold water reservoir.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Regarding claim 10, Carruthers discloses all of the limitations of the claim except for various placements of the pump and the vent pipe. However, since the applicant has failed to establish any criticality or synergistic results which are derived from the recited configurations, these limitations are considered a matter of obvious design choice. Thus, the applicant's design configurations would have been an obvious improvement to one of ordinary skill in the art with regard to the apparatus disclosed in Carruthers.

Application/Control Number: 10/809,823 Page 3

Art Unit: 3749

Claims 11 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carruthers. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide multiple vent pipes, since it has been held that mere duplication of parts has no patentable significance unless a new and unexpected result is produced. In *re Harza*, 274 F.2d 669, 124 USPQ 378 (CCPA 1960).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Derek S. Boles at (571) 272-4872.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-21-9197 (foll-free).

D.S.B.

PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 3700

12/11/06